Theory of Knowledge (TOK)

IB SL Study Guide

Contents

Videos on this page: Watch: What is TOK? Knowledge Questions Explained · Watch: How to Write a TOK Essay

What is TOK?

Theory of Knowledge is a core component of the IB Diploma Programme. Unlike your six subject groups, TOK is not a body of content to memorise — it is a course that asks you to examine the nature of knowledge itself: how you know what you claim to know, and what it means to say that something is true, justified, or certain.

Every IB Diploma student takes TOK. It contributes up to 3 bonus points to your final diploma score, combined with the Extended Essay (EE). The TOK–EE matrix can award 0, 1, 2, or 3 additional points depending on the grade combination of both components.

How TOK fits into the Diploma

TOK links directly to your other subjects. When you study Natural Sciences, you are applying scientific method — TOK asks *why* that method produces reliable knowledge. When you study History, TOK asks how historical knowledge is constructed and evaluated. When you study Mathematics, TOK asks whether mathematical truths are discovered or invented.

The course also connects to CAS (Creativity, Activity, Service): CAS asks you to act on values and reflect on experience; TOK asks you to examine those values and what it means to "know" that something matters.

IB TIP

TOK examiners reward students who treat knowledge questions as genuinely open — not problems with a single correct answer, but questions that reveal the complexity of knowing. Avoid asserting conclusions too quickly.

Assessment summary

Component	Marks	Weight	Submission
TOK Exhibition	10 / 40	25%	Internal (school)
TOK Essay	30 / 40	75%	External (IB)

The 12 Core Concepts

The IB identifies twelve concepts that run through all knowledge. You should be able to define each and illustrate it with examples from at least two Areas of Knowledge.

MEMORISE THIS

The twelve core TOK concepts: **certainty, culture, evidence, explanation, interpretation, justification, objectivity, perspective, power, responsibility, truth, values**.

Certainty

Certainty refers to the state of being free from doubt. In mathematics, a proved theorem holds with certainty within its axiomatic system. In empirical sciences, certainty is rarely achieved — knowledge is provisional and subject to revision.

Knowledge question example: *To what extent is certainty possible in natural science?*

Culture

Culture shapes what we consider worthy of investigation, what counts as evidence, and what explanations are acceptable. A community's cultural framework can facilitate or obstruct knowledge production.

Knowledge question example: *How does culture influence what counts as historical knowledge?*

Evidence

Evidence is the information used to support or challenge a claim. Different Areas of Knowledge use different standards of evidence: controlled experiments in Natural Sciences, primary source documents in History, mathematical proof in Mathematics, aesthetic response in The Arts.

Knowledge question example: *What makes evidence sufficient in the human sciences?*

Explanation

An explanation identifies causes, reasons, or mechanisms that account for a phenomenon. Scientific explanations rely on causal mechanisms; historical explanations often invoke human agency and context; mathematical explanations demonstrate logical necessity.

Knowledge question example: *Is a good explanation always a simple one?*

Interpretation

Interpretation involves assigning meaning to data, texts, or events. In The Arts, interpretations of a work can legitimately vary. In Natural Sciences, ambiguous data often requires interpretive judgment before a conclusion can be drawn.

Knowledge question example: *When does interpretation cross the line into distortion?*

Justification

Justification is the process of giving reasons for a knowledge claim. A belief is not knowledge until it is justified — though the standards of justification differ across AOKs. Mathematical justification requires formal proof; empirical justification requires evidence from observation and experiment.

Knowledge question example: *Can a belief be justified and still be false?*

Objectivity

Objectivity refers to knowledge that is independent of personal feelings, perspectives, or biases. Pure objectivity may be an ideal rather than an achievable state, particularly in the Human Sciences and History, where researchers are embedded in the phenomena they study.

Knowledge question example: *Is objectivity in the human sciences possible or merely desirable?*

Perspective

Perspective refers to the viewpoint or standpoint from which a claim is made. All knowers have perspectives shaped by culture, education, and experience. Acknowledging multiple perspectives strengthens knowledge; failing to do so produces blind spots.

Knowledge question example: *How does perspective affect the production and evaluation of knowledge in history?*

Power

Power shapes which knowledge claims are produced, disseminated, and accepted. Institutions, governments, and dominant cultural groups can promote or suppress particular forms of knowledge.

Knowledge question example: *In what ways does power influence what counts as scientific knowledge?*

Responsibility

Responsibility in TOK concerns the ethical obligations of knowers — both to produce knowledge honestly and to consider the consequences of sharing or applying it. Scientists, historians, and artists all face responsibilities attached to their claims.

Knowledge question example: *Do knowers have a responsibility to act on the knowledge they produce?*

Truth

Truth is the correspondence, coherence, or pragmatic utility of a claim. Different theories of truth operate in different AOKs: mathematics relies on internal coherence; empirical science on correspondence to observation; ethics sometimes on pragmatic consensus.

Knowledge question example: *Can there be more than one truth about the same event?*

Values

Values are the principles that guide what is considered important or worth knowing. Values are embedded in research agendas, artistic canons, and historical narratives. They are not obstacles to knowledge — they are part of what motivates knowledge-seeking.

Knowledge question example: *To what extent do values enhance or distort knowledge in the natural sciences?*

Areas of Knowledge (AOKs)

The five Areas of Knowledge are the domains in which IB students are expected to explore how knowledge is produced, evaluated, and shared.

History

History is the study and interpretation of the human past. It relies on primary and secondary sources, and knowledge claims are evaluated by their use of evidence, contextualisation, and awareness of bias.

Key knowledge questions:

- How do historians decide which sources to trust?
- Can an event have multiple equally valid historical interpretations?
- To what extent is historical knowledge shaped by the present concerns of historians?

Knowledge production in History: historians gather primary evidence, compare accounts, and construct arguments. Unlike natural science, historical experiments cannot be repeated. The past is gone — historians work with traces.

> ⚠ **EXAM ALERT**
>
> When using History as an AOK in your essay, avoid summarising historical facts. The examiner wants to see you ask *how* historical knowledge is produced and what its limitations are.

Human Sciences

The Human Sciences include economics, psychology, sociology, and anthropology. They study human behaviour and social phenomena using a mixture of quantitative and qualitative methods.

Key knowledge questions:

- Can human behaviour be studied with the same methods used in natural science?
- How does the fact that researchers are also human affect objectivity in psychology?
- What is the role of models and simplification in economics?

Knowledge production in Human Sciences: experiments, surveys, case studies, and statistical analysis. The challenge is that human beings respond to being studied (the observer effect), which can alter the behaviour under investigation.

Natural Sciences

The Natural Sciences include biology, chemistry, physics, and earth sciences. Knowledge is produced through the hypothetico-deductive method: forming hypotheses, testing them experimentally, and revising theories in light of results.

Key knowledge questions:

- Is a scientific theory that can never be falsified still science?
- How do paradigm shifts (Kuhn) explain the history of scientific change?
- Can the natural sciences achieve certainty?

Knowledge production in Natural Sciences: peer review, replication, and falsifiability (Popper) are central standards. Scientific knowledge is provisional — always open to revision.

> **IB TIP**
>
> Karl Popper's falsifiability criterion and Thomas Kuhn's concept of paradigm shifts are frequently cited in TOK essays. Know both well enough to use them critically, not just as labels.

Mathematics

Mathematics produces knowledge through deductive proof from axioms. Unlike empirical knowledge, mathematical truths do not depend on observation — once proved, they hold necessarily.

Key knowledge questions:

- Is mathematics discovered or invented?
- Why is mathematics so effective at describing the physical world?

- Can an informal argument ever count as a mathematical proof?

Knowledge production in Mathematics: conjecture, proof, and the axiomatic method. Gödel's incompleteness theorems show that any sufficiently complex formal system contains true statements that cannot be proved within that system — a profound limit on mathematical knowledge.

> ⚠ **EXAM ALERT**
>
> Do not confuse mathematics with natural science when writing your essay. Mathematics produces necessary truths within its axioms; natural science produces contingent truths about the world. Conflating them is a common IB examiner concern.

The Arts

The Arts include visual arts, music, film, literature, and theatre. Artistic knowledge involves creating and interpreting works that express, challenge, or transform human experience.

Key knowledge questions:

- Can art convey knowledge that cannot be expressed in propositional form?
- Is aesthetic response a form of knowledge or merely a feeling?
- Does the intention of an artist determine the meaning of a work?

Knowledge production in The Arts: artists produce works; audiences and critics interpret them. There is genuine debate about whether aesthetic judgment can be objective or whether it is irreducibly subjective.

▸**Watch: What is TOK? Knowledge Questions Explained** VIDEO

Optional Themes

The Optional Themes allow deeper exploration of knowledge in specific contexts. Your school will choose which themes to study.

Knowledge and Technology

Technology shapes what knowledge is possible, how it is produced, and who has access to it. Algorithmic systems now make decisions (in medicine, law, finance) that were previously the province of human judgment. This raises questions about transparency, accountability, and the nature of machine "knowledge."

Sample knowledge questions:

- Does artificial intelligence produce knowledge, or does it merely process data?
- How does technology change the standards of evidence in natural science?
- Is knowledge produced by an algorithm owned by the algorithm's creator?

Knowledge and Language

Language structures thought, and different languages carve up the world differently. Benjamin Lee Whorf proposed that language determines thought (linguistic determinism); a weaker version holds that language influences thought (linguistic relativity).

Sample knowledge questions:

- Can we have knowledge that is not expressed in language?
- How does translation affect historical knowledge when primary sources exist only in one language?
- Is mathematical notation a language?

Knowledge and Politics

Political power shapes which knowledge claims are funded, published, and accepted. Propaganda, censorship, and the sociology of scientific consensus are all relevant here.

Sample knowledge questions:

- When does political commitment enhance and when does it distort knowledge production?
- How should citizens evaluate conflicting knowledge claims from political actors?

Knowledge and Religion

Religious traditions often make knowledge claims that overlap with, or contradict, claims made by natural science. The relationship between faith, reason, and evidence is central to this theme.

Sample knowledge questions:

- Is religious knowledge based on evidence, or does it operate by different epistemic standards?
- Can faith and reason be reconciled as ways of knowing?

Knowledge and Indigenous Societies

Indigenous knowledge systems have historically been marginalised by Western academic frameworks. This theme examines those systems on their own terms and asks what they can contribute to wider knowledge.

Sample knowledge questions:

- What criteria should be used to evaluate indigenous knowledge claims?
- How does the relationship between a knowledge system and its environment affect its validity?

TOK Exhibition (25% of Grade)

What it is

The TOK Exhibition is an internal assessment completed during the course. You select **three objects** and connect each one to a single IA prompt from the IB's official list of 35 prompts. Your commentary explains how each object illustrates a real-world application of the chosen knowledge question.

The exhibition is submitted as a written document (approximately 950 words total: around 300 words per object, plus a brief title statement).

The IA Prompts (selected examples)

MEMORISE THIS

You do not need to memorise all 35 prompts, but you should read through them and identify the ones that resonate with your objects before choosing. The prompt must be chosen from the official IB list — you cannot write your own.

Examples of frequently chosen prompts:

- "What counts as a good justification for a knowledge claim?"
- "What role does imagination play in producing knowledge about the world?"
- "How do we know when to trust our intuitions?"
- "Are some things unknowable?"
- "How important are material tools in the production of knowledge?"

Choosing objects

Objects can be physical, digital, or conceptual — but they must be **specific** and **real**, not hypothetical. Effective objects tend to be:

- Directly observable (a photograph, a scientific instrument, an artwork, a government document)
- Personally significant to you (examiners reward genuine engagement)
- Capable of sustaining a knowledge question — not just an object you like

EXAM ALERT

The single most common Exhibition mistake is choosing objects that are too generic. "A book" or "the internet" are weak choices. "My grandfather's diary from the 1956 Budapest uprising" or "an fMRI scan from a psychology study I read" are strong choices — specific, contextualised, and able to generate genuine knowledge questions.

Structuring your commentary

For each object, your commentary should:

1. Identify the object precisely (what it is, where/when it comes from)
2. Explain the specific knowledge question it raises in connection with the chosen IA prompt
3. Analyse how the object illuminates the knowledge question — not just describe it
4. Make the connection to real-world knowledge explicit

Model Exhibition Example

IA Prompt chosen: "What role does imagination play in producing knowledge about the world?"

Object 1: A reproduction of Kepler's diagram of elliptical planetary orbits (1609). Commentary: Kepler's model required imagining that orbits could be non-circular — a conceptual leap that no observation alone could have produced. The diagram illustrates how imagination constrained by evidence generates scientific knowledge, raising the question of where the boundary between imaginative conjecture and empirically grounded theory lies.

Object 2: A photograph of Picasso's *Guernica* (1937). Commentary: Guernica represents the bombing of a Basque town during the Spanish Civil War. Picasso never witnessed the event; his representation is an act of historical imagination. The work raises questions about whether art can convey historical knowledge that documentary sources cannot, and whether such knowledge has different epistemic standards.

Object 3: A printed copy of Einstein's 1905 thought experiment describing riding a beam of light. Commentary: Thought experiments are a form of structured imagination. Einstein's thought experiment about light preceded experimental confirmation by decades. This object raises the question of how imagination operating within the constraints of existing knowledge can generate genuinely new knowledge rather than mere speculation.

▸Watch: How to Write a TOK Essay VIDEO

TOK Essay (75% of Grade)

Overview

The TOK Essay is an externally assessed piece of 1,600 words. You choose one title from a list of six **Prescribed Titles** released by the IB each year. The essay is submitted to the IB.

The essay must explore a genuine knowledge question, use specific real-life examples, and acknowledge counterarguments (counterclaims).

Choosing a Prescribed Title

When you receive the six titles, analyse each carefully before choosing:

- Which title allows you to draw on AOKs and examples you know well?
- Which title has a genuine tension or complexity you can explore?
- Avoid titles where you have an immediate, confident answer — those rarely produce rich essays

> **IB TIP**
>
> The most dangerous title choice is the one that feels "easiest." Examiners penalise essays that resolve the knowledge question too quickly. Choose a title that genuinely troubles you.

Essay Structure

A strong TOK essay does not follow a standard five-paragraph format. The IB rewards essays that develop an argument progressively, acknowledge complexity, and reach a nuanced conclusion.

WORKED EXAMPLE

Sample essay structure for the title: "Is certainty ever justified in the pursuit of knowledge?"

Introduction (approx. 150 words) Unpack the title: what would "certainty" mean? Distinguish psychological certainty (feeling sure) from epistemic certainty (having conclusive justification). State the knowledge question you will explore. Briefly indicate your line of argument without announcing a pre-formed conclusion.

First AOK exploration — Mathematics (approx. 350 words) Argue that mathematics can achieve certainty within its axiomatic system. Use a specific example: Euclid's proof of the infinity of prime numbers, or the proof of the Pythagorean theorem. Acknowledge a counterclaim: Gödel's incompleteness theorem suggests that even mathematics contains unprovable truths. What does this imply about certainty in formal systems?

Second AOK exploration — Natural Sciences (approx. 350 words) Contrast with natural science, where certainty is rarely claimed. Use a specific real-life example: the 2011 faster-than-light neutrino result (OPERA experiment) that was later found to be a measurement error. Argue that provisional, revisable knowledge is a strength, not a weakness. Counterclaim: does provisional knowledge undermine the authority of scientific consensus on issues like climate change?

Third AOK exploration or linking paragraph (approx. 250 words) Bring in a third AOK (e.g., History or Human Sciences) to complicate the picture further, or use this space to develop the strongest counterclaim to your overall argument.

Conclusion (approx. 150 words) Do not simply restate your argument. Reach a nuanced position: perhaps certainty is justified in some AOKs under specific conditions, but the value of knowledge may lie precisely in its tentativeness and openness to revision. Identify what your exploration has left unresolved.

Using Real-Life Examples

Every claim in your essay must be grounded in a specific real-life example — not a hypothetical. Examiners distinguish between:

- **Strong**: "In 2011, the OPERA experiment appeared to show neutrinos travelling faster than light, later attributed to a loose cable — illustrating how provisional scientific knowledge is."
- **Weak**: "Scientists sometimes make mistakes and have to change their theories."

Your examples should come from at least two different AOKs. Avoid using the same example for every claim.

⚠ EXAM ALERT

A real-life example must be real and specific. Hypothetical scenarios (e.g., "Imagine a scientist who discovers…") do not count as real-life examples and will be penalised in the markscheme.

What Examiners Reward

The IB TOK Essay markscheme rewards essays that demonstrate:

1. **Understanding of knowledge and knowing** — the essay explores genuine knowledge questions, not just subject-matter questions
2. **Quality of analysis of the title** — the essay addresses the specific wording of the prescribed title throughout
3. **Quality of examples** — examples are specific, well-chosen, and genuinely illuminate the knowledge question
4. **Quality of argument** — claims are supported, counterclaims are acknowledged, and the essay reaches a defensible conclusion
5. **Synthesis and evaluation** — the conclusion does more than summarise; it evaluates what the exploration has revealed

Past Prescribed Titles Analysis (2023–2026)

Patterns in essay titles

Reviewing titles from recent examination sessions reveals consistent themes:

Tension between AOKs: Many titles invite comparison of knowledge standards across two or more AOKs. A title like "Does the methodology used to gain knowledge affect the certainty of that knowledge?" rewards students who can articulate why scientific and mathematical methodologies produce different epistemic statuses.

The role of the knower: Titles frequently foreground the individual or community producing knowledge, e.g., "Does the personal context of a knower ever not influence the acquisition of knowledge?" These titles reward awareness of perspectives, culture, and power.

Knowledge and its limits: Titles often probe the boundaries of what can be known, e.g., "Are some things unknowable?" or "Is it possible to gain knowledge of something which is unknowable?" These reward engagement with Gödel, quantum indeterminacy, and the limits of historical evidence.

Language and knowledge: At least one title per session in recent years concerns language or interpretation — its role in shaping, transmitting, or limiting knowledge.

What the IB is testing across all titles

Regardless of the specific wording, every prescribed title tests whether you can:

- Identify a genuine knowledge question embedded in the title
- Explore it with reference to specific AOKs and real-life examples
- Acknowledge that the question is genuinely open
- Reach a nuanced rather than a definitive conclusion

Common Mistakes

⚠ EXAM ALERT

Mistake 1: Listing knowledge instead of analysing it. Writing "In Biology, scientists use the scientific method…" without asking what this means for the nature of knowledge. Fix: always follow a factual statement with a knowledge question.

Mistake 2: Ignoring counterclaims. A one-sided essay will not score above a B. For every claim you make, identify the most compelling challenge to it and engage with it seriously.

Mistake 3: Using vague or hypothetical examples. "Scientists sometimes disagree" is not an example. "The replication crisis in psychology, illustrated by the failure to reproduce Daryl Bem's precognition studies (2011)" is an example.

Mistake 4: Scope too broad. An essay that tries to address all five AOKs in 1,600 words addresses none of them in depth. Choose two to three AOKs and analyse them carefully.

Mistake 5: Weak conclusion. A conclusion that merely summarises the essay wastes the opportunity to demonstrate synthesis. Your conclusion should say something that could not have been said at the start of the essay.

Mistake 6: Treating TOK as a content subject. Do not write a history essay, a science essay, or a philosophy essay. Write an essay about how knowledge is produced, evaluated, and shared in those domains.

Practice Questions

Exhibition Practice

▶ Prompt: "What counts as a good justification for a knowledge claim?" — Model commentary approach
▶ Prompt: "How do we know when to trust our intuitions?" — Model commentary approach
▶ Prompt: "Are some things unknowable?" — Model commentary approach

Essay Practice

- Essay outline: “How do we distinguish between knowledge and belief?”
- Essay outline: “Does the language we speak shape the knowledge we can express?”
- Essay outline: “Is all knowledge a simplification?”